



GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA

महाराष्ट्र शासन

MAHARASHTRA STATE COMMISSION FOR PROTECTION OF CHILD RIGHT

3rd floor, Government Transport service, Sir Pochakhanwala Rd Worli, Mumbai

Telephone No. २४९२०८९७/९५ Fax no. २४९२०८९६ Email I.D.mscpcr@gmail.com

क्र. बाहआ-2015/15-16/प्र.क्र. 54/

दि. 30/09/2016

बालहक्क संरक्षण अधिनियम 2005 व बालकांचा मोफत व सक्तीचा शिक्षण अधिनियम 2009 अंतर्गत आयोगासमोरील दि. 30/09/2016 रोजीची सुनावणीचे कार्यवृत्त.

अ. क्र.	प्रकरण क्रमांक	विषय	उपस्थित पक्षकारांची नांवे	आयोगाचे निर्णय/आदेश
1	केस नं. 113/2014 & केस नं. 223/2014 केस नं. 87/2014	नलीनी देठे यांची शारदाश्रम हायस्कूल व सी.बी.एम.स्कूल विरोधात विरोधात आर.टी.ई. अंतर्गत तक्रार. RTE 25% ऑनलाईन प्रवेश प्रक्रिया 2015 अंतर्गत प्रवेश देण्याचे प्रस्तावित करूनही जानकीदेवी पब्लिक हायस्कूल अंधेरी पश्चिम यांनी प्रवेश दिला नाही म्हणुन पालक परविन रसोल पठाण यांनी शाळेच्या विरोधात तक्रार केली आहे.	1.Rushil Mehta Advocate for Jankidevi School 2. अॅड. अजिंक्य पालव शारदाश्रम विद्या मंदिर 3. श्रीमती रजिता एल. बाले हेड मिस्ट्रेस शारदाश्रम विद्या मंदिर 4 श्रीमती मिरजकर, शिक्षण निरीक्षक. 5.सौ.एस. आर.खरे सुपरीटेंडंट शिक्षण विभाग 6. अॅड. करुणा निकम, B.M.C.	Learned Advocate Shri Ajinkya Palav appeared on behalf of Sharadashram Vidyamandir. Learned Advocate Shri Mehta appeared on behalf of Jankidevi public High School. Smt. Mirajkar and Smt. Khare appeared on behalf of B.M.C. alongwith her Advocate. Representative of Education department was not present. Advocate Smt. Karuna Nikam, orally submitted that direction pertaining to provision of reimbursement of amount spend on uniform, books etc shall be issued by B.M.C. within one month. Directed Education Department in the Government to settle the matter on same line relating with Jankidevi Public School. Matter closed for final recommendation
2	केस नं. 45/2014	प्रवेश प्रक्रिया 2015 अंतर्गत प्रवेश देण्याचे प्रस्तावित करूनही सेंट अँथोनी इंग्लिश स्कूल, धारावी यांनी प्रवेश	1.श्रीमती मिरजकर, शिक्षण निरीक्षक. 2.सौ.एस. आर.खरे सुपरीटेंडंट शिक्षण विभाग 3.Mrs.Elizabeth clerk St. Anthony	Representative of St. Anthany school Smt. Elizabeth appeared and submitted written reply. Matter closed for final recommendation.

		साजीद , शाह मोहम्मद अहसान, शेख नझामीन गुलाम, मोहमद इस्माईल, बेग गुलझार सलीम यांनी शाळेच्या विरोधात तक्रार केली आहे.	school.	
3	केस नं. 159/2016 केस नं. 160/2016 केस नं. 161/2016	Brijesh R Chaturvedi complaint regarding denial of entitlements by school and demand of paying the money for same Riyaz ahmad Shaikh Badsha complaint regarding denial of entitlements by school and demand of paying the money for same Ahmad Hasan Khan complaint regarding denial of entitlements by school and demand of paying the money for same.	1. श्रीमती मिरजकर, शिक्षण निरीक्षक. 2.सौ.एस. आर.खरे सुपरीटेंडंट शिक्षण विभाग 3. Mrs. Alice Principal Sadhana Vidhyalaya	Directed school to file affirmed reply.
4	केस नं. 110/2016.17	Shri. Santosh Mehta complaint against HVB Global Academy	Advocate Aayushi Anandpara for HVB Global Academi	Learned Advocate appeared on behalf of school, produced sur rejoinder on rejoinder filed by son of complainant, directed to forward copy by post to the Complainant. Complainant did not appear. As procedures in this matter have been completed therefore matter is closed of final recommendation.
5	केस नं. 154/2016.17	श्रीमती समिना सय्यद यांची मरीयम पब्लिक हायस्कूल विरोधात तक्रार	Shri Rajesh P. Singh Advocate for Mariyam Public School	Education officer requested ten days time during last hearing to file reply, till date reply is not

				received, hence in the interest of justice, matter is closed for final recommendation.
6	केस नं. 108/ 2016.17	निला बर्नार्ड सोएस यांनी सेवेने स्कवायर अकादमी विरोधात केलेली तक्रार	श्री.सुरज पारवी	Respondent school did not appear. Intern Mr. Suraj Paravi working with Advocate of Complainant appeared and produced request letter mentioning that learned Advocate of Complainant is busy in Thane Court, therefore need time. Request admitted, matter listed on next hearing.
7	केस नं. 241/ 2016.17	Regarding admission under 25% complaint from Smt. Surekha Deepak Phondekar	1.श्रीमती मिरजकर, शिक्षण निरिक्षक. 2.सौ.एस.आर.खरे सुपरीटेंडंट शिक्षण विभाग 3 Mrs.Hina Dedhia Head Mistress 4. Sanjay Pande Advt. For complainant 5. Surekha Phondekar. Complainant	Learned Advocate Sanjay Pande admitted to rectify the complaint and accepted to file rectified complaint within two days. Directed complainant to forward copies of rectified complaint to B.M.C. and school. Directed to representatives of B.M.C. and school to file written reply on rectified complaint within seven days. List matter on next hearing.
8	केस नं. 242/ 2016.17	RTE 25% ऑनलाईन प्रवेश प्रक्रिया 2015 अंतर्गत प्रवेश देण्याचे प्रस्तावित करूनही प्रवेश मिळाला नाही व सुविधा न मिळणेबाबत बाबत पालकांनी बालमोहन विद्या मंदिर व सरस्वती मंदिर सीबीएसई विरोधात केलेली तक्रार.	1. Mrs. Babita Panta Principal Balmohan Vidhya Mandir. 2. Vinay Bhate Advcate Balmohan Vidhya Mandir. 3. Avinash Deokar Ext.Asst. 4. Advt. Sanjay Pande for complainant. 5. Santoshi Nadar Complainant 6. Mrs. Sujata Khare Supt.Education 7. Sunanda Mirjakar B.O. 8. Shabana Khan Complainant 9. Shajaha Shaikh Complainant 10. Tabasum Khan Complainant 11. Nasrin Ansari Complainant	Advocate Shri. Pande appeared on behalf of complainant. He admitted to file rectified application within two days. Balmohan school represented by Mrs. Babita Panda, Principal alongwith Advocated, Mr. Bhate in the matter of Smt. Nadar. Saraswati School represented by Shri. Avinash Deokar, produced letter on behalf of school. As complainant admitted to file fresh application therefore matter is disposed of with direction to file fresh application, after clubbing all the matters.

		From Shri. Ravindra Landge regarding admission under 25% EWS category	1. Secretary, C.E. Society Chalisgaon S. B. Saraf High School 2. Shri. S.G. Pardeshi BEO Chalisgaon	Respondent appeared, admitted that school has given admission to the son of complainant. Block education officer Shri. Pardeshi appeared on behalf of education officer and supported the statement of representative of school. Hence matter is closed for final recommendation.
10	केस नं. 168 / 2016.17	Complaint of Ajay Pathak against vulgar offensive gestures intimidating threatening and mentally scarring pressuring false allegations against my nephew Master Aryan Pathak aged 12 years studying in VI standard by Mr. Vivek bussa	Ms. Darshana Singh Advt. For Ajay Pathak	Advocated representing complainant filed her Vakalatnama and requested Commission to dispose off matter as she is going to file this matter before the Metropolitan Magistrate. Directed Advocate to file written submission, accordingly case is disposed of without any recommendation.
11	केस नं. 169 / 2016.17	महिला व बाल विकास आयुक्तालय यांच्याकडून प्राप्त झालेली तक्रार. श्री. सिध्दार्थ लोणारे यांनी त्यांचा मुलगा प्रज्वल लोणारे याला शाळेच्या आवारात झालेल्या मारहाणीबाबत केलेली तक्रार	1. श्री. प्रकाश तकमळे पोलीस हवालदार 2. श्री. राजकुमार बाबाजी चिमणकर मुख्याध्यापक 3. सिध्दार्थ लोणारे तक्रारदार	Heard parties. Written reply filed by police and principal of school. Complainant raised issue regarding expenditure on medical treatment, upon his child. In this matter it needs to call medical officer on next hearing. No need to call complainant. List matter on next hearing.
12	केस नं. 222 / 2016.17	Rajan Ahuja's complaint regards "To save my son Rajveer Ahuja"	1. Rajan Ahuja 2. Advt. Maniar Shrivastava from VBGYOR School 3. Sarojini Ahuja Respondant	Heard parties. As this matter is within jurisdiction of civil court, hence directed Respondent to file reply within three weeks. Matter shall be disposed off after receiving reply from Respondent.
13	केस नं. 224 / 2016.17	St. Xavier's High School parent / Ex parents Association complaint against St. Xavier's High School.	1. Mrs. Sudha Talashilkar Supritendant MCGM 2. Mrs. Shobha A. Wadiyar B.O. MCGM 3. Advt. Umesh S. Iyer 4. Mr. S. Sawant Admin for school	During last hearing complainant was directed to file affirmed complaint and to forward copies to all respondent, affirmed complaint is not filed. Therefore by virtue of non compliance of order of the Commission, matter is disposed of without any recommendation.

		for resuming of my son's school with immediate effect.	Rakesh Kumar	Directed Respondent to file reply on DCPU's report, if he feels to do so, within seven days. After receiving reply from Respondent, matter shall be decided. List matter on next hearing.
15	केस नं. 226 / 2016.17	गुरुकुल पब्लिक स्कूल परतवाडा विरुद्ध त्वरीत कडक कायदेशीर कार्यवाही होण्याबाबत श्री. सुधीर मालखेडे यांनी केलेली तक्रार.	1.श्री. सुधीर मालखेडे तक्रारदार 2.संदिप वाईदेसकर तक्रारदार नं. 2 कडुन 3.श्री. सुधीर इंगळे प्रिन्सीपल गुरुकुल पब्लिक स्कूल परतवाडा	Heard matter. It is appearing that notice has been served by education officer upon school. However no decision is taken. Directing Education officer to pass appropriate order under Section 32(2) of Right to Education Act, within one month. Accordingly matter is disposed of.
16	केस नं. 227 / 2016.17	मंत्रालयातुन प्राप्त तक्रार 'सडक दुर्घटना मध्ये मुलाच्या झालेल्या मृत्यु संदर्भात 'श्री. रविंद्र लांडगे यांनी केलेली तक्रार	गैरहजर.	List matter on next hearing.
17	केस नं. 238 / 2016.17	श्रीमती बबीता सिंग यांनी केलेली तक्रार	1.R.B.Unde P.I.Pune Police Station 2. Babita Singh complainant	Police officer submitted report on the status of case. Directed police officer to follow S.O.P. as prescribed for tracing the missing children and contact their counter parts to other states and came during next hearing alongwith report pertaining to the progress. No need to call complainant. List matter on next hearing.
18	केस नं. 221 / 2016.17	'बच्चे के नामकन में हुई गडबडी संबंधी शिकायत' बी.एस.वाडिकर	1. Shri.Vadikar Complainant 2. Shri Patil Complainant	Complainant was present. Matter is related with the admission of Child as he has not been given admission as per his appropriate age, therefore local authority as prescribed under Section 32(2) of R.T.E. Act is directed to decide case and submit report. Matter is disposed of.

(अ.ना.त्रिपाठी)

सचिव

बाल हक्क संरक्षण आयोग

(संजय कुमार)

प्रभारी अध्यक्ष

बाल हक्क संरक्षण आयोग