Commission's recommendations & prepared and pland on "A" for concurrence

27.5

· A. H. Tripathi Secretary

chairmay (Inchange)

6 6 15

Invesde

3/4.6

Before the Maharashtra State Commission for Protection of Child Rights.

Enquiry, under section 13 (1) and 14 (1) of the Child Right Protection Act, 2005.

Case No.17/2014

Shri Sandeep Gaikwad

Complainant

Vs.

Manager, Remand Home, Ahmednagar

Respondents

Background:-

This matter has been filed by complainant named "Sandeep saying that in the District Ahmednagar, Government run Children Home. The inmates are being forced to work during the holiday which is against the right of child. Complainant has alleged that he has made a complaint to the District Women and Child Development. However, no cognizance has been taken. In the newspaper report, it is also displayed. Commission took the cognizance, called the complainant and District Women and Child Development Officer. Complainant did not appear. Women and Child Development Officer has made a detailed report saying that complaint filed by complainant has no fact. Children residing in the children home, are not being engaged for manual hazardous work. However, as on own, whatever work children feel they do engage themselves. Women and Child Development Officer has submitted a statement made by inmates and Superintendent of Children Home.

Considering the report submitted by District Women and Child Development Officer, this matter is disposed of without any recommendation.



By order and seal of the Commission

(A.N.Tripathi)

I.F.S.

Secretary

Maharashtra State Commission for Protection
of Child Rights